

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re:	§ Chapter 11
SERTA SIMMONS BEDDING, LLC, <i>et al.</i>	§ Case No. 23-90020 (DRJ)
	§ (Jointly Administered)
SERTA SIMMONS BEDDING, LLC, INVESCO SENIOR SECURED MANAGEMENT, INC., CREDIT SUISSE ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC, BOSTON MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH, EATON VANCE MANAGEMENT, and BARINGS LLC,	§ Adversary Proc. No. 23-09001 (DRJ)
<i>Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants,</i>	§
- against -	§
AG CENTRE STREET PARTNERSHIP L.P., AG CREDIT SOLUTIONS NON-ECI MASTER FUND, L.P., AG SF MASTER (L), L.P., AG SUPER FUND MASTER, L.P., SILVER OAK CAPITAL, L.L.C., ASCRIBE III INVESTMENTS, LLC, COLUMBIA CENT CLO 21 LIMITED, COLUMBIA CENT CLO 27 LIMITED, COLUMBIA FLOATING RATE INCOME FUND, A SERIES OF COLUMBIA FUNDS SERIES TRUST II, COLUMBIA STRATEGIC INCOME FUND, A SERIES OF COLUMBIA FUNDS SERIES TRUST I, CONTRARIAN CAPITAL FUND I, L.P., CONTRARIAN CENTRE STREET PARTNERSHIP, L.P., CONTRARIAN DISTRESSED DEBT FUND, L.P., GAMUT CAPITAL SSB, LLC, LCM XXII LTD., LCM XXIII LTD., LCM XXIV LTD., LCM XXV LTD., LCM 26 LTD., LCM 27 LTD., LCM 28 LTD., NORTH STAR DEBT HOLDINGS, L.P., SHACKLETON 2013- III CLO, LTD., SHACKLETON 2013-IV-R CLO, LTD., SHACKLETON 2014-V-R CLO, LTD., SHACKLETON 2015-VII-R CLO, LTD., SHACKLETON 2017-XI CLO, LTD., Z CAPITAL CREDIT PARTNERS CLO 2018-1 LTD., AND Z CAPITAL CREDIT PARTNERS CLO 2019-1 LTD.,	§
<i>Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs.</i>	§

**MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER
AUTHORIZING THE LCM DEFENDANTS TO
FILE THEIR POST-TRIAL BRIEF AND PROPOSED
FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW UNDER SEAL**

Defendants LCM XXII LTD., LCM XXIII LTD., LCM XXIV LTD., LCM XXV LTD., LCM 26 LTD., LCM 27 LTD., and LCM 28 LTD. (the “LCM Defendants”), respectfully represent as follows in support of this motion (“Motion to Seal”):

BACKGROUND

1. Closing arguments on the adversary proceeding styled as *Serta Simmons Bedding, LLC et al. v. AG Centre St. Partnership, et al.*, Case No. 23-09001 (the “Adversary Proceeding”) are scheduled to commence on May 25, 2023 at 2:00 p.m. (Central Time).

2. In connection with the closing argument, the LCM Defendants filed a post-trial brief (the “Post-Trial Brief”) under seal. The unsealed Post-Trial Brief contains information that has been previously designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential material pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order (the “Protective Order”) entered in the Adversary Proceeding [Dkt. No. 74].

3. In further connection with this argument, the LCM Defendants filed proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law (the “Proposed Findings” and together with the Post-Trial Brief, the “Sealed Materials”) under seal. The unsealed proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law contains information that has been previously designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential material pursuant to the Protective Order.

4. The Protective Order provides in relevant part that “[a]ll pleadings, memoranda supporting motions, briefs, deposition transcripts, discovery requests and responses, exhibits, and other documents that quote information from Confidential Information or Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only Information and the Confidential Information and Highly Confidential –

Attorneys' Eyes Only Information if filed with the Court, shall be redacted from the Court filing (either by redacting the relevant text of the submission or redacting the entirety of any exhibit that has been designated as containing Confidential Information or Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only Information) or filed under seal pursuant to the Court's rules governing sealed documents, unless the Designating Party consents to such Confidential Information or Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only Debtors Information being filed publicly in writing.” Protective Order § 4.2(E).

5. The LCM Defendants have on this day submitted the Sealed Materials, which describes or quotes certain materials that have been designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential pursuant to the Protective Order. The Sealed Materials have been filed under seal in unredacted form and filed publicly on the docket in redacted form. The unredacted version of the Sealed Materials has been, or will soon be, served on counsel for all parties to the Adversary Proceeding via e-mail.

6. Consistent with their obligations under the Protective Order, the LCM Defendants request that the Court maintain under seal the unredacted version of the Sealed Materials unless the Designating Parties consent to its public filing.

RELIEF REQUESTED

7. By this Motion to Seal, pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 9037-1 of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas, the LCM Defendants request (i) authority to file entirely under seal the Sealed Materials and (ii) direction that the unredacted version of the Sealed Materials remain under seal and not be made available to anyone other than the Court and the parties to this Adversary Proceeding unless later unredacted.

8. A proposed form of order granting the relief requested herein is attached hereto (the “Proposed Order”).

RELIEF REQUESTED SHOULD BE GRANTED

9. Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code permits this Court to “issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title,” subsumed within which is the power to enforce its own orders. *See, e.g., In re Blast Energy Servs., Inc.*, 396 B.R. 676, 688 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 2008) (bankruptcy court has “inherent power to enforce its own orders”); *In re National Gypsum Co.*, 118 F.3d 1056, 1069 (5th Cir. 1997) (noting the “undisputed power of a bankruptcy court to enforce its own orders”).

10. Local Rule 9037-1(c) provides that “[a] motion, reply, or other document may initially be filed under seal if the filing party simultaneously files a motion requesting that the document be maintained under seal.” Local Rule 9037-1(d) further provides that “[i]n some instances, it may be practicable to redact relevant confidential information from a document, and to file the redacted document in the public record. In those instances, (i) a redacted document should be filed, not under seal; and (ii) the unredacted document should simultaneously be filed, under seal.”

PREVIOUS RELIEF GRANTED

11. The Court in this action has already granted prior requests to seal exhibits and memoranda of law similar to the Sealed Materials the LCM Defendants seek to seal by the instant motion, based on confidentiality designations under the Protective Order. *See, e.g., Serta Simmons Bedding, LLC et al. v. AG Centre Street Partnership, L.P., et al.*, No. 23-09001 (DRJ) (S.D. Tex. Bankr.) Dkt. 105, 106.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the LCM Defendants respectfully request entry of the Proposed Order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

Dated: May 23, 2023
Houston, Texas

/s/ John J. Sparacino

John J. Sparacino (SBN 18873700)
S. Margie Venus (SBN 20545900)
Regan S. Jones (SBN 24110060)
MCKOOL SMITH, PC
600 Travis Street, Suite 7000
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 485-7300
Facsimile (713) 485-7344
jsparacino@mckoolsmith.com
mvenus@mckoolsmith.com
rjones@mckoolsmith.com

-and -

Michael Shuster (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Vincent Levy (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Neil R. Lieberman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Priyanka Timblo (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Brian T. Goldman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Patrick J. Woods (admitted *pro hac vice*)
HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP
425 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
mshuster@hsllp.com
vlevy@hsllp.com
nlieberman@hsllp.com
ptimblo@hsllp.com
bgoldman@hsllp.com
pwoods@hsllp.com

Counsel for the LCM Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion to Seal was served by electronic delivery on all persons and entities receiving ECF notice in this adversary proceeding on May 23, 2023.

/s/ John J. Sparacino
John J. Sparacino